

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP  
KAREN G. JOHNSON-MCKEYAN (SBN 121570)  
kjohnson-mckewan@orrick.com  
ANNETTE L. HURST (SBN 148738)  
ahurst@orrick.com  
GABRIEL M. RAMSEY (SBN 209218)  
gramsey@orrick.com  
405 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA 94105  
Tel: 1.415.773.5700 / Fax: 1.415.773.5759  
PETER A. BICKS (*pro hac vice*)  
pbicks@orrick.com  
LISA T. SIMPSON (*pro hac vice*)  
lsimpson@orrick.com  
51 West 52<sup>nd</sup> Street, New York, NY 10019  
Tel: 1.212.506.5000 / Fax: 1.212.506.5151

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
DAVID BOIES (*pro hac vice*)  
dboies@bsfllp.com  
333 Main Street, Armonk, NY 10504  
Tel: 1.914.749.8200 / Fax: 1.914.749.8300  
STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (SBN 144177)  
sholtzman@bsfllp.com  
1999 Harrison St., Ste. 900, Oakland, CA 94612  
Tel: 1.510.874.1000 / Fax: 1.510.874.1460

ORACLE CORPORATION  
DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)  
dorian.daley@oracle.com  
DEBORAH K. MILLER (SBN 95527)  
deborah.miller@oracle.com  
MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA (SBN 211600)  
matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com  
RUCHIKA AGRAWAL (SBN 246058)  
ruchika.agrawal@oracle.com  
500 Oracle Parkway,  
Redwood City, CA 94065  
Tel: 650.506.5200 / Fax: 650.506.7117

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
ORACLE AMERICA, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
GOOGLE INC.  
Defendant.

Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA  
**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
ORACLE'S SUMMARY MOTION IN  
LIMINE #4 RE COPYING NOT  
REQUIRED TO USE THE JAVA  
LANGUAGE**

Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor  
Judge: Honorable William H. Alsup

1 This Court has read and considered Plaintiff Oracle America, Inc.'s Summary Motion In  
2 Limine #4 Regarding Copying Not Required To Use the Java Language as well as any Opposition  
3 thereto, and any and all additional supporting papers.

4 Based thereon [and on statements made by counsel at the hearing on the Motion], IT IS  
5 HEREBY ORDERED THAT Oracle's Motion is GRANTED.

6 All argument, evidence, testimony, and opinions (including expert opinions) that Google  
7 (1) was required to copy the declaring code and SSO of the 37 Java API packages because they  
8 were technically necessary to program in the Java language and (2) was required to copy the  
9 declaring code and SSO of the 37 Java API packages to achieve compatibility or interoperability  
10 with Java are excluded from all phases of the trial under Federal Rules of Evidence 401, 402, and  
11 403 as irrelevant, prejudicial, confusing, misleading, and legally baseless.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13  
14  
15 

---

THE HONORABLE WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28